



COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

CONTRACT CITIES LIABILITY TRUST FUND CLAIMS BOARD

500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD

Curtis Morris
City Council Member
Mark R. Alexander
City Manager
James Bozajian
City Council Member
Doug Prichard
City Manager
Margaret Finlay
City Council Member
Mark Waronek
City Council Member
Ray Cruz
City Manager
Kenneth Striplin
City Manager
Jose Pulido
City Manager
Marcel Rodarte
California Contract
Cities Association
Patrick Kearney
City Council Member

NOTICE OF MEETING

The County of Los Angeles Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board will hold its Regular Meeting on Wednesday, March 14, 2018, at 11:00 a.m., in the City of Monterey Park, Monterey Hill Restaurant, Carmel Ballroom, 3700 Ramona Boulevard, Monterey Park, California 91754.

AGENDA

1. Call to Order.
2. Opportunity for members of the public to address the Claims Board on items of interest that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board.
3. Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9)
 - a. Rosa Linda Belman v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 545771

This lawsuit concerns allegations of injuries received in an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Deputy.

[See Supporting Document](#)

- b. A. G., et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
United States District Court Case No. 2:17-CV-00753

This lawsuit concerns allegations of wrongful death and federal civil rights violations by a Sheriff's Deputy.

[See Supporting Document](#)

- c. Sergio Gonzalez Cruz v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 601062

This lawsuit concerns allegations of injuries received in an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Deputy.

[See Supporting Document](#)

- 4. Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9)

- a. Kathleen Anderson, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
United States District Court Case No. CV 15-09289

This lawsuit concerns allegations of wrongful death and federal civil rights violations by Sheriff's Deputies.

- b. Mildred Mae Mendoza, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 594206

This lawsuit involves excessive force shooting and wrongful death by Sheriff's Deputies.

- 5. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.
- 6. Approval of Minutes for the February 14, 2018, meeting of the Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board.

[See Supporting Document](#)

- 7. Items not on the posted agenda, to be referred to staff or placed on the agenda for action at a further meeting of the Board, or matters requiring immediate action because of emergency situation or where the need to take immediate action came to the attention of the Board subsequent to the posting of the agenda.
- 8. Other Business
- 9. Adjournment

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME	Rosa Linda Belman v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
CASE NUMBER	BC545771
COURT	Los Angeles Superior Court
DATE FILED	May 16, 2014
COUNTY DEPARTMENT	Sheriff's Department
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	\$ 450,000
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF	David Azizi, Esq.
COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY	Michael J. Gordon, Deputy County Counsel
NATURE OF CASE	<p>On July 1, 2013, Plaintiff was involved in a motor vehicle versus motor vehicle collision with an on-duty employee of the Sheriff's Department, in the intersection of Compton Boulevard and Bullis Road in the City of Compton. She allegedly sustained injuries to her neck, back, shoulder, and knee, and underwent lumbar spine surgery as a result of the collision.</p> <p>Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of \$450,000 is recommended.</p>
PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE	\$ 257,175
PAID COSTS, TO DATE	\$ 103,020

Case Name: Rosa Linda Bellman v. County of Los Angeles, et al.



Summary Corrective Action Plan

The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	July 1, 2013
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	<p><u>Rosa Linda Bellman v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Summary Corrective Action Plan 2017-050</p> <p>On July 1, 2013, at approximately 6:00 p.m., a patrol sergeant drove his marked patrol vehicle¹ west on Compton Boulevard, as he responded to a priority robbery just occurred call. The sergeant was driving without the use of his emergency lights or siren. As the sergeant approached the intersection of Compton Boulevard and Bullis Road, he glanced at his Mobile Digital Computer (MDC). When the sergeant began to enter the intersection, he looked up and saw the traffic control light at the intersection had turned red for his direction of travel.</p> <p>Simultaneously, a 1992 Honda Accord, driven by the plaintiff, was travelling north on Bullis Road and entered the intersection on a green light, in front of the patrol vehicle.</p> <p>Although the sergeant applied emergency braking, he failed to stop prior to colliding into the plaintiff's vehicle. The front of the patrol vehicle collided with the passenger side of the plaintiff's vehicle. After being struck, the plaintiff's vehicle collided with a traffic signal pole and a fence on the northeast corner of the intersection.</p> <p>The plaintiff was transported to Saint Francis Hospital where she was treated for complaint of pain to her neck, back, chest, and jaw. The sergeant was not injured in the collision.</p>

1. Briefly describe the **root cause(s)** of the claim/lawsuit:

The **Department** root cause in this incident was the sergeant caused the collision when he failed to stop for a red traffic control signal.

Another **Department** root cause in this incident was the sergeant driving while distracted by his MDC.

2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:
(Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

The traffic collision was investigated by traffic investigators assigned to Compton Sheriff's Station. Their investigation included measurements of the scene, the gathering of witness statements, photographs, analysis and estimations of speeds, distances, road and weather conditions, as well as a factual diagram.

¹ A 2012 black and white Chevrolet Tahoe

The investigation determined the cause of this collision was the sergeant's inattention due to looking at his MDC, resulting in his failure to stop for a red light (a violation of section 21453[a] of the California Vehicle Code [CVC]).

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department had relevant policies and procedures in place at the time of the incident.

The Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's training curriculum addressed the circumstances which occurred in the incident. Appropriate administrative action has been taken.

Since this collision, a new California vehicle code (23123.5 CVC) has been implemented that prohibits driving while operating any electronic or wireless communications device.

Additionally, the Department has updated its policy regarding the Operation of Vehicles, to forbid use of the Mobile Digital Computers except under certain circumstances, which are clearly identified within the policy.

In an effort to improve employee and public safety and reduce the risk of Department liability, the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department, Central Patrol Division, (which has command over Compton Sheriff's Station), mandated that all stations within its command to be briefed on distracted driving, and required 100% compliance. Compton Station has addressed the issue of distracted driving by conducting regular training on this subject matter during in-service briefings.

The Sheriff's Department, Field Operations Training Unit has also since required each newly assigned deputy, to a patrol station, to attend driver's training at the Sheriff's Department Emergency Vehicle Operations Center (EVOC).

Each of these changes in the California State Law and Department Policy were made with the intention on reducing collisions, including collisions as a result of distracted driving. Since these changes, Compton Sheriff's Station's effort to reinforce these laws and policies have resulted in no traffic collisions due to the use of the Mobile Digital Computer or Wireless Communication Devices.

As a result of this collision, an assessment of Compton Station's traffic collisions which occurred between January 1, 2013 and December 31, 2016 was conducted. The audit revealed the following:

Calendar Year	Number of Preventable Collisions
2013	21
2014	19
2015	16
2016	23
2017	28


- 107 preventable collisions occurred between the dates indicated above
- 39% were attributed to collisions involving fixed objects or parked vehicles during low speed parking or starting maneuvers.
- The most common causal factor was unsafe starting or backing.

Compton Sheriff's Station consistently schedules personnel to attend the Department's Sheriff Traffic Accident Reduction (S.T.A.R.) driving program, which focuses on low speed parking and starting maneuvers, hosted at the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department EVOC.


County of Los Angeles
Summary Corrective Action Plan

3. Are the corrective actions addressing Department-wide system issues?
- Yes – The corrective actions address Department-wide system issues.
- No – The corrective actions are only applicable to the affected parties.

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department

Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)	
Scott E. Johnson, Captain Risk Management Bureau	
Signature: 	Date: 1-2-18

Name: (Department Head)	
Karyn Mannis, Chief Professional Standards and Training Division	
Signature: Karyn Mannis	Date: 01-02-18

Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General USE ONLY	
Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the County?	
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide applicability.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this Department.	
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)	
Destiny Castro	
Signature: 	Date: 1/9/2018

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME	A.G., et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
CASE NUMBER	CV 17-00753
COURT	United States District Court
DATE FILED	January 30, 2017
COUNTY DEPARTMENT	Sheriff's Department Contract Cities Trust Fund
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	\$ 1.75 Million
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF	Humberto M. Guizar Henderson and Carrozco, LLP
COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY	Jonathan McCaverty Principal Deputy County Counsel
NATURE OF CASE	<p>This is a recommendation to settle for \$1.75 million, inclusive of attorneys' fees and costs, a federal civil rights and wrongful death lawsuit arising out of a February 2016 Deputy-involved shooting in the City of Norwalk. Plaintiffs allege that a Deputy shot Francisco Garcia without justification as he attempted to flee from the Deputy.</p> <p>The Deputy contends that his actions were reasonable under the circumstances.</p> <p>Given the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a reasonable settlement at this time will avoid further litigation costs; therefore, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of \$1.75 million is recommended.</p>
PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE	\$ 100,465
PAID COSTS, TO DATE	\$ 24,306

Case Name: A.G. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.



Summary Corrective Action Plan

The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	February 24, 2016
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>A.G. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.</u> Summary Corrective Action Plan 2017-047</p> <p>The deputy sheriff was assigned to Cerritos Station and working routine patrol in a one-man marked patrol vehicle in Cerritos Station's patrol area. While running several license plates via his MDC, a hit returned to a stolen white Acura Integra. The deputy sheriff had ran several vehicle license plates and was not sure where the stolen vehicle was when he received the alert. The deputy sheriff searched the area and found a white Acura Integra in the parking lot of a nearby gas station.</p> <p>Note: The deputy sheriff was aware that the gas station parking lot was the scene of an apparent gang related fatal shooting the day before.</p> <p>The gas station parking lot is just over a jurisdictional boundary into the neighboring city of Norwalk.</p> <p>The deputy sheriff activated his overhead lights and pulled behind the Acura as it was parked next to a gas pump. There was a man (the decedent) standing next to the Acura and appeared to be associated with the vehicle. The deputy sheriff exited his patrol vehicle and approached the vehicle and decedent.</p> <p>While walking towards the decedent, the deputy sheriff asked if the car belonged to him. The decedent responded, "It's none of your business" and entered the driver's door of the vehicle.</p> <p>The deputy sheriff approached the driver's door of the vehicle and stood near the rear view mirror. The deputy sheriff requested radio clearance to perform a license plate check on the Acura.</p> <p>Before the deputy sheriff could voice the license plate number, the decedent started the Acura's engine and used his right hand to reach into the rear passenger seat area of the vehicle. The deputy sheriff could not see the decedent's right hand as he reached into the back seat. The deputy sheriff feared the decedent was reaching for a weapon or firearm that could be used against him. The deputy sheriff drew his duty weapon, pointed it at the decedent, ordered for him to stop the vehicle's engine, and to show his hands. The decedent refused.</p> <p>The decedent put the vehicle in gear, turned the vehicle sharply to the left, and accelerated forward. The deputy sheriff was still standing next to the driver's door as the vehicle accelerated forward. The decedent's turning</p>

	<p>movement and acceleration caused the vehicle to strike the deputy sheriff on his left thigh and both knees.</p> <p>Fearing the decedent was armed with a weapon or firearm and that the decedent would use them against him, the deputy sheriff fired his weapon seven times at the decedent.</p> <p>Approximately 28 feet from the gas pump, the Acura drove over a curb and collided with a brick wall that displayed the gas station logo and gas prices.</p> <p>The deputy sheriff removed the decedent from the driver's seat and found the decedent in need of medical treatment. The deputy sheriff used his portable radio to notify dispatch he was involved in a shooting and requested paramedics for the decedent. The deputy sheriff performed cardiopulmonary resuscitation on the decedent.</p> <p>Paramedics responded and emergency medical care was continued as the decedent was transported to a local hospital. The lifesaving efforts were unsuccessful and the decedent was pronounced dead at the hospital.</p> <p>The Acura was found to be a previously reported stolen vehicle and the same vehicle the deputy sheriff had been looking for. No weapons were recovered from the decedent or the Acura.</p>
--	--

1. Briefly describe the **root cause(s)** of the claim/lawsuit:

A **Department** root cause in this incident was the use of deadly force against the decedent while he was in control of a moving vehicle.

A **non-Department** root cause in this incident was the decedent's failure to follow the lawful commands of the deputy sheriff.

2. Briefly describe recommended corrective actions:

(Include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

Criminal Investigation

This incident has been investigated by the Sheriff's Department's Homicide Bureau to determine if any criminal misconduct occurred.

The investigation has been submitted to the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office for a determination as to whether the use of deadly force was legally justified and/or if any other criminal misconduct occurred. At the time of this report, the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office has not advised the Department of their findings.

Administrative Investigation

The Sheriff's Department's Internal Affairs Bureau (IAB) will investigate this incident to determine if any administrative misconduct occurred before, during, or after this incident.

The California Government Code's Peace Officer Bill of Rights sets guidelines for administrative investigation statute dates. Once the Homicide Bureau and the Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office investigations are complete, a statute date will be set regarding the administrative investigation.

When the IAB investigator finishes the case, it will be submitted for approval. Approximately one month after the case has been approved, the case will be presented to the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department's, Executive Force Review Committee (EFRC) for adjudication.

Revised Shooting at Moving Vehicles Policy and Departmental Training

In January 2016, the Department created a committee to re-evaluate the use of firearms against occupied vehicles. The committee consisted of Department executives and members from the Department's Risk Management and Training Bureaus, in addition to members of County Counsel.

On August 4, 2016, the Sheriff's Department published an update to the existing policy regarding Use of Firearms Against Vehicles and/or Occupants of Vehicles.

A computer logon "Splash page" was used to have all Department personnel acknowledge they were aware of the new policy.

All sworn members were required to complete an online training course by August 25, 2016, that was produced by the Sheriff's Department's, Tactics and Survival Unit (TAS).

The web training course consisted of the following:

- A review of the updated Department Policy
- Video produced by the Weapons Training Unit, showing the ineffectiveness of stopping a vehicle by utilizing direct fire at a moving vehicle
- Completion of acknowledgement form, stating sworn personnel have viewed and understand the content of the video.


As of December 6, 2017, 100% of sworn personnel have completed the online training course.

County of Los Angeles
Summary Corrective Action Plan

3. Are the corrective actions addressing Department-wide system issues?

- Yes – The corrective actions address Department-wide system issues.
 No – The corrective actions are only applicable to the affected parties.

Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department



Name: (Risk Management Coordinator) Scott E. Johnson, Captain Risk Management Bureau	
Signature: 	Date: 1-18-18

Name: (Department Head) Karyn Mannis, Chief Professional Standards and Training Division	
Signature: 	Date: 01-22-18

Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General USE ONLY

Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the County?

- Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide applicability.
 No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this Department.

Name: (Risk Management Inspector General) 	
Signature: 	Date: 1/23/2018

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME	Sergio Gonzalez Cruz v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
CASE NUMBER	BC601062
COURT	Los Angeles Superior Court
DATE FILED	November 16, 2015
COUNTY DEPARTMENT	Sheriff's Department
PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT	\$ 40,000
ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF	Katherine R. Cohan, Esquire
COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY	Michael J. Gordon, Deputy County Counsel
NATURE OF CASE	<p>On February 13, 2015, Plaintiff was involved in a motor vehicle versus motor vehicle collision with an on-duty employee of the Sheriff's Department, in the intersection of Main Street and 228th Street in the City of Carson. He allegedly sustained injuries to his neck, back, shoulder and ribs, and underwent lumbar spine surgery as a result of the collision.</p> <p>Due to the risks and uncertainties of litigation, a full and final settlement of the case in the amount of \$40,000 is recommended.</p>
PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE	\$ 25,989
PAID COSTS, TO DATE	\$ 9,623

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
CONTRACT CITIES LIABILITY TRUST FUND
CLAIMS BOARD**

MINUTES OF MEETING

February 14, 2018

1. Call to Order

This meeting of the County of Los Angeles Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board was called to order by Curtis Morris at 12:13 a.m. The meeting was held in the Monterey Hill Restaurant, Carmel Ballroom, 3700 Ramona Boulevard, Monterey Park, California 91754.

Present at the meeting were **Claims Board Members:** Curtis Morris, Chair, At-Large; Mark Alexander, East Division; James Bozajian, North Division; Ray Cruz, South Division; Margaret Finlay, East Division; Patrick Kearney, Central Division; Doug Prichard, At-Large; Jose Pulido, Central Division; Ken Striplin, North Division; Mark Waronek, South Division; Marcel Rodarte, California Contract Cities Association; **Alternate:** Reva Feldman, At-Large; Darrell George, East Division; Ernie Hernandez, South Division; Steve Hofbauer, North Division; Lindsey Horvath, At-Large; Steve Mandoki, Central Division; **County of Los Angeles Staff:** Ruben Baeza, Assistant County Counsel; Millicent L. Rolon, Principal Deputy County Counsel; Peter M. Bollinger, Senior Deputy County Counsel; Bobby Denham, Los Angeles Sheriff's Department; Scott Gage, Los Angeles Sheriff's Department; Scott Johnson, Los Angeles Sheriff's Department; Eric Parra, Los Angeles Sheriff's Department; Maxine Kallenberger, Los Angeles Sheriff's Department; Andrew Rosso, Los Angeles Sheriff's Department; Matthew Squire, Los Angeles Sheriff's Department; Chris Marks, Los Angeles Sheriff's Department; Steve Robles, County of Los Angeles; **Third Party Administrator for the County of Los Angeles:** Sylvia Hernandez, Claims Manager, Carl Warren & Company; **California JPIA:** Jonathan Shull, Chief Executive Officer; Jim Thyden, Insurance Programs Manager; Alex Smith, Chief Financial Officer; Lyndsie Buskirk, Office Assistant; Denise Covell, Administrative Assistant.

Announcements:

1. Lieutenant Matthew Squire of the Los Angeles Sheriff's Department announced the Contract City Council Academy. The three session Academy is designed to give city staff and elected officials a firsthand view of Sheriff's Department operations.
2. Ray Cruz, City Manager Member of South Patrol Division announced this will be his last meeting as he has accepted a position as City Manager of Santa Fe Springs (non-contract city). He thanked the Board for the opportunity to serve.

3. Marcel Rodarte, Executive Director of Contract Cities announced Ernie Hernandez of Hawaiian Gardens, South Patrol City Manager Alternate will fill the South Patrol Division Member City Manager position pending confirmation by the Board of Supervisors. He also announced Nancy Tragarz of Walnut will be filling the East Patrol Division Alternate City Council position pending confirmation from the Board of Supervisors.

2. Public Comment

Members of the public were provided the opportunity to address the Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board on items of interest that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Claims Board. No members of the public addressed the Board.

At 12:18 a.m., the Chair adjourned the County of Los Angeles Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board into Closed Session.

3. Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9)

- a. Juan Jose Hernandez v. Ryan Erickson Thompson, et al.
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. MC 026949

This lawsuit concerns allegations of injuries received in an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Deputy.

Action Taken:

The Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board approved the Settlement of this matter in the amount of \$24,000.

Vote: Ayes: 11 - Curtis Morris, Mark Alexander, James Bozajian, Ray Cruz, Margaret Finlay, Patrick Kearney, Doug Prichard, Jose Pulido, Ken Striplin, Mark Waronek, and Marcel Rodarte

- b. Miguel Hernandez, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
United States District Court Case No. CV 16-09412

This lawsuit concerns allegations of wrongful death and federal civil rights violations by a Sheriff's Deputy.

Action Taken:

The Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$2,700,000.

Vote: Ayes: 11 - Curtis Morris, Mark Alexander, James Bozajian, Ray Cruz, Margaret Finlay, Patrick Kearney, Doug Prichard, Jose Pulido, Ken Striplin, Mark Waronek, and Marcel Rodarte

- c. Sergio Salcedo v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 585736

This lawsuit concerns allegations of injuries received in an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Deputy.

Action Taken:

The Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board recommended to the Board of Supervisors the settlement of this matter in the amount of \$625,000.

Vote: Ayes: 11 - Curtis Morris, Mark Alexander, James Bozajian, Ray Cruz, Margaret Finlay, Patrick Kearney, Doug Prichard, Jose Pulido, Ken Striplin, Mark Waronek, and Marcel Rodarte

4. Closed Session - Conference with Legal Counsel - Existing Litigation (Subdivision (a) of Government Code Section 54956.9)

- a. Kathleen Anderson, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
United States District Court Case No. CV 15-09289

This lawsuit concerns allegations of wrongful death and federal civil rights violations by Sheriff's Deputies.

- b. James Cocherell v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
United States District Court Case No. CV 16-09101

This lawsuit concerns allegations of civil rights violations by Sheriff's Deputies.

- c. Travis R. Dahle v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC 632126

This lawsuit concerns allegations of injuries received in an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Deputy.

- d. Syed Jaffrey v. County of Los Angeles, et al.
United States District Court Case No. BC 612343

This lawsuit concerns allegations of injuries received in an automobile accident involving a Sheriff's Deputy.

5. Report of actions taken in Closed Session.

The meeting was reconvened into public session at 12:49 a.m. No action was taken in Closed Session which required a public report pursuant to Government Code section 54957.1.

6. Approval of the Minutes for January 17, 2018, meeting of the Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board.

Action Taken:

The Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board approved the minutes.

Vote: Ayes: 11 - Curtis Morris, Mark Alexander, James Bozajian, Ray Cruz, Margaret Finlay, Patrick Kearney, Doug Prichard, Jose Pulido, Ken Striplin, Mark Waronek, and Marcel Rodarte

7. Items Not on the Posted Agenda, to be Referred to Staff or Placed on the Agenda for Action at a Further Meeting of the Contract Cities Liability Trust Fund Claims Board, or Matters Requiring Immediate Action Because of Emergency Situation or Where the Need to Take Immediate Action Came to the Attention of the Board Subsequent to the Posting of the Agenda

None

8. Other Business

None

9. Adjournment

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 12:50 p.m.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
CONTRACT CITIES LIABILITY
TRUST FUND CLAIMS BOARD


LUZ ARACELY LOZA